

## Message Text

CONFIDENTIAL

PAGE 01 PRETOR 03690 291910Z

ACTION IO-14

INFO OCT-01 AF-10 EUR-12 ISO-00 HA-05 CIAE-00 DODE-00

NSAE-00 NSCE-00 SSO-00 ICAE-00 INRE-00 PM-05 H-01

INR-10 L-03 PA-01 SP-02 SS-15 /079 W

-----092607 291920Z /46

O 291825Z JUN 78

FM AMEMBASSY PRETORIA

TO SECSTATE WASHDC NIACT IMMEDIATE 1571

USMISSION USUN NEW YORK IMMEDIATE

INFO AMEMBASSY BONN IMMEDIATE

AMEMBASSY DAR ES SALAAM IMMEDIATE

AMEMBASSY GABORONE IMMEDIATE

AMEMBASSY LAGOS IMMEDIATE

AMEMBASSY LIBREVILLE IMMEDIATE

AMEMBASSY LONDON IMMEDIATE

AMEMBASSY LUSAKA IMMEDIATE

AMEMBASSY MAPUTO IMMEDIATE

AMEMBASSY OTTAWA IMMEDIATE

AMEMBASSY PARIS IMMEDIATE

CONFIDENTIAL PRETORIA 3690

E.O. 11652: GDS

TAGS: PORG, SF, WA

SUBJECT: NAMIBIA: SAG RESPONSE TO JUNE 22 DEMARCHE

REF: USUN 02723

1. FOREIGN MINISTER BOTHA, I BELIEVE, APPRECIATES  
THAT THE SUGGESTION IN REGARD TO THE OSHEVELLO OPTION DOES  
NOT REPRESENT A CHANGE IN THE WESTERN PROPOSAL AND THAT  
ITS ACCEPTANCE BY THE SAG WOULD HAVE NEGLIGIBLE DISADVANTAGES  
FOR SOUTH AFRICA. THERE IS SOME SUGGESTION THAT BRAND  
FOURIE VIEWED THE WESTERN PROPOSAL AS A TOLERABLE IDEA.  
THE DAY AFTER THE WESTERN DEMARCHE OF JUNE 22, NEIL VAN  
HEERDEN, IN A CONVERSATION WITH THE EMBASSY POLITICAL  
CONFIDENTIAL

CONFIDENTIAL

PAGE 02 PRETOR 03690 291910Z

COUNSELOR APPEARED POSITIVE ABOUT THE SUGGESTION. VAN  
HEERDEN SAID HE THOUGHT IT WAS "A POSSIBILITY." WE  
SUSPECT VAN HEERDEN WAS REFLECTING FOURIE'S INITIAL  
REACTION.

2. WE SUSPECT DEFENSE MINISTER P.W. BOTHA, WHO WAS  
NO DOUBT CONSULTED, HAD A SIGNIFICANT HAND IN DETER-

MINATION OF THE SAG RESPONSE TO THE FIVE'S JUNE 22 DEMARCHE. IT IS ALSO CONCEIVABLE THAT PIK BOTHA AND VORSTER BELIEVED THAT IF THE PROPOSAL WAS TO BE CONSIDERED AT ALL IT WOULD BE UNWISE TO GIVE AN IMMEDIATE POSITIVE RESPONSE. TO HAVE DONE SO WOULD HAVE SURRENDERED A BAR-GAINING TOOL AND IMPLIED SAG ACCEPTANCE OF THE WESTERN RATIONALE BASED UPON PREJUDICIAL ACTIONS BY THE SAG, INCLUDING THE CASSINGA RAID. DEMANDING A QUID PRO QUO RELIEVES THE SAG OF THIS PROBLEM AND AT LEAST OBLIGES A TRY AT SECURING SOMETHING FROM SWAPO. PERHAPS MOST IMPORTANTLY, THE SOUTH AFRICANS MAY WELL HAVE CALCULATED THAT, IF THEY ACCEPTED THE SUGGESTION OUTRIGHT, SWAPO WOULD VERY LIKELY HAVE RESPONDED WITH MORE DEMANDS.

3. WHETHER THE SAG IS PREPARED TO MOVE IN THE DESIRED DIRECTION IF ASSURED THAT IT WOULD REMOVE THE FINAL BARRIER TO AN AGREED SETTLEMENT IS UNCERTAIN. DEFENSE MINISTER P.W. BOTHA PRESUMABLY MADE A STRONG POINT ABOUT THE SUPPOSED MILITARY DISADVANTAGES OF GIVING UP OSHEVELLO. IF THE SAG ASKED FOR THE REACTION OF THE TURNHALLE LEADERS IN NAMIBIA, THEY ALSO MAY HAVE OPPOSED THE IDEA ON THE GROUNDS THAT IT WOULD HAVE REMOVED THE SINGLE REMAINING SAG MILITARY PRESENCE IN OVAMBO. ALTHOUGH OSHEVELLO IS IN FACT ONLY A RUDIMENTARY BASE JUST INSIDE OVAMBO TERRITORY, THE PSYCHOLOGICAL FACTOR WOULD BE IMPORTANT TO THE TURNHALLE GROUP.

CONFIDENTIAL

CONFIDENTIAL

PAGE 03 PRETOR 03690 291910Z

4. THE SAG MAY ALSO NOW FEEL THAT IT IS IN A RELATIVELY ADVANTAGEOUS POSITION TO WAIT OUT A SWAPO DECISION. THEY QUITE CONCEIVABLY BELIEVE THAT REACTION TO THE CASSINGA RAID HAS FADED, AT LEAST IN THE WEST, AND THAT THEIR REITERATION OF ACCEPTANCE OF THE PROPOSALS WHILE AT THE SAME TIME INITIATING REGISTRATION OF VOTERS HAS PUT THEM IN A BASICALLY FAVORABLE POLITCAL POSTION.

5. WHILE KEEPING OPEN THE TRACK TOWARD A POSSIBLE INTERNAL SETTLEMENT, VORSTER AND PIK BOTHA LIKELY CONTINUE PREPARED, IF THEY DO NOT ACTUALLY PREFER, TO PROCEED ON THE BASIS OF THE WESTERN PROPOSAL. THERE REMAINS A CHANCE THAT, IF SWAPO ACCEPTED THE PROPOSAL ON OSHEVELLO AS THE BASIS OF A FINAL AGREEMENT, THE SAG WOULD IN THE END ALSO ACCEPT IT.

6. THE CONTACT GROUP'S PROPOSED COURSE OF ACTION (REFTEL) WOULD SEEM THE BEST AVAILABLE IN THE PRESENT CIRCUMSTANCES. IN DRAWING UP TALKNG POINTS FOR NYERERE, THE CONTACT GROUP MIGHT ALSO CONSIDER INCLUDING OTHER CLARIFICATIONS ABOUT MEASURES WHICH COULD BE TAKEN TO CONTROL

AND MONITOR THE SAG RESIDUAL FORCE.

7. LOOKING AHEAD, IF SWAPO PROVIDES A POSITIVE RESPONSE AND SOUTH AFRICA STILL REFUSES TO ACCEPT THE SUGGESTION ON OSHEVELLO, THE CONTACT GROUP MAY WISH TO GIVE TENTATIVE THOUGHT TO PROPOSING VARIATIONS. FOR EXAMPLE, THE SAG MIGHT UNDERTAKE TO WITHDRAW THE ELEMENTS OF ITS RESIDUAL FORCE FROM OSHEVELLO ONE MONTH AFTER THE BEGINNING OF THE ELECTION CAMPAIGN PROVIDED THESE CONTINUED TO BE A VISIBLE PEACE. THAT VISABLE PEACE WOULD BE THE QUID PRO QUO.

8. RE PARA 3 OF REFTEL, THE PRETORI FIVE CAME DOWN VERY HARD ON THE SAG ASSERTION THAT OUR SUGGESTION OF CONFIDENTIAL

CONFIDENTIAL

PAGE 04 PRETOR 03690 291910Z

THE GROOTFONTEIN OPITON WAS AN ALTERATION OF OUR PROPOSAL, SO THE RECORD SHOULD BE CLEAR ON THIS POINT. HOWEVER, WE DID NOT HAVE TIME TO DISCUSS THE WALVIS BAY ISSUE, AND IT PROBABLY WOULD BE DESIRABLE TO SET THE RECORD STRAIGHT ON SOME OF THE POINTS RAISED BY THE SAG.

PARAGRAPH 14 OF THE SAG RESPONSE (PRETORIA 3646) ERRONEOUSLY DESCRIBES THE TEXT OF A PROPOSED WESTERN STATEMENT AS ONE WHICH THE FIVE SAID THEY WOULD MAKE RATHER THAN AS ONE THEY WERE CONSIDERING. FURTHER, PARAGRAPH 16 SUGGESTS THAT AN UNDERSTANDING ON WALVIS BAY IS "AN IMPORTANT AND MATERIAL PART OF THE PROPOSAL AS A WHOLE", DESPITE THEIR EARLIER EMPHASIS ON THE POINT THAT WALVIS BAY FALLS OUTSIDE THE PROPOSAL.

EDMONDSON

CONFIDENTIAL

NNN

## Message Attributes

**Automatic Decaptoning:** X  
**Capture Date:** 01 jan 1994  
**Channel Indicators:** n/a  
**Current Classification:** UNCLASSIFIED  
**Concepts:** DEMARCHE, POLITICAL SETTLEMENT  
**Control Number:** n/a  
**Copy:** SINGLE  
**Draft Date:** 29 jun 1978  
**Decapton Date:** 01 jan 1960  
**Decapton Note:**  
**Disposition Action:** RELEASED  
**Disposition Approved on Date:**  
**Disposition Case Number:** n/a  
**Disposition Comment:** 25 YEAR REVIEW  
**Disposition Date:** 20 Mar 2014  
**Disposition Event:**  
**Disposition History:** n/a  
**Disposition Reason:**  
**Disposition Remarks:**  
**Document Number:** 1978PRETOR03690  
**Document Source:** CORE  
**Document Unique ID:** 00  
**Drafter:** n/a  
**Enclosure:** n/a  
**Executive Order:** GS  
**Errors:** N/A  
**Expiration:**  
**Film Number:** D780269-0701  
**Format:** TEL  
**From:** PRETORIA  
**Handling Restrictions:** n/a  
**Image Path:**  
**ISecure:** 1  
**Legacy Key:** link1978/newtext/t1978067/aaaaaftz.tel  
**Line Count:** 150  
**Litigation Code IDs:**  
**Litigation Codes:**  
**Litigation History:**  
**Locator:** TEXT ON-LINE, ON MICROFILM  
**Message ID:** 75b9568b-c288-dd11-92da-001cc4696bcc  
**Office:** ACTION IO  
**Original Classification:** CONFIDENTIAL  
**Original Handling Restrictions:** n/a  
**Original Previous Classification:** n/a  
**Original Previous Handling Restrictions:** n/a  
**Page Count:** 3  
**Previous Channel Indicators:** n/a  
**Previous Classification:** CONFIDENTIAL  
**Previous Handling Restrictions:** n/a  
**Reference:** 78 USUN NEW YORK 2723  
**Retention:** 0  
**Review Action:** RELEASED, APPROVED  
**Review Content Flags:**  
**Review Date:** 26 apr 2005  
**Review Event:**  
**Review Exemptions:** n/a  
**Review Media Identifier:**  
**Review Release Date:** n/a  
**Review Release Event:** n/a  
**Review Transfer Date:**  
**Review Withdrawn Fields:** n/a  
**SAS ID:** 2391811  
**Secure:** OPEN  
**Status:** NATIVE  
**Subject:** NAMIBIA: SAG RESPONSE TO JUNE 22 DEMARCHE  
**TAGS:** PORG, SF, WA  
**To:** STATE USUN NEW YORK  
**Type:** TE  
**vdkgvgwkey:** odbc://SAS/SAS.dbo.SAS\_Docs/75b9568b-c288-dd11-92da-001cc4696bcc  
**Review Markings:**  
Sheryl P. Walter  
Declassified/Released  
US Department of State  
EO Systematic Review  
20 Mar 2014  
**Markings:** Sheryl P. Walter Declassified/Released US Department of State EO Systematic Review 20 Mar 2014